

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		P-RD 4806	7878	
09/882,274	06/15/2001	Alex B. Burgin JR.	r-100 4000		
23601 7590 08/13/2002 CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP			EXAMINER		
4370 LA JOLI	LA VILLAGE DRIVE		LU, FRANK	WEI MIN	
7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO,	N DIEGO, CA 92122 ART UNIT PAPER NU		PAPER NUMBER		
,			1634	$\bigcirc$	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	2 <i>O</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	No.	Applicant(s)
•		09/882,274		Burgin
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit
		Frank   II		1634
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence address
ariad for	Penly			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of the maximum of the treply specified above is less than thirty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION.  Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, imunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutor statutory period will apply and will ex	however, may a reply be y minimum of thirty (30) of thirty SIX (6) MONTHS from	timely filed  lays will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on		
2a)□	This action is FINAL	2b) This action is no	on-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	on for allowance except f actice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	or formal matters, ayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in th	e application.		
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)[]	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) 1-56 are subject to restri	ction and/or election requ	iirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		inor
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the l	= Xarniner.
	A	objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance	3. See 37 Of IV 1.03(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)∐ ap	proved b) disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are	e required in reply to this Oft	ice action.	
	The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			40(-) (d) or (f)
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) 01 (1).
	) All b) Some * c) None o	of:		
	4 Cortified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received.	r-tion No
	2. Certified copies of the price	rity documents have bee	n received in App	lication No
*	application from the In	ternational buleau (FC)	fied copies not re	ceived in this National Stage
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	iim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application
l .	a) The translation of the foreig Acknowledgment is made of a cla	n language provisional at	oblication has bee	in received.
Attachm				
1) NO	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	iew (PTO-948) I49) Paper No(s)	<ul> <li>4) Interview St</li> <li>5) Notice of Int</li> <li>6) Other: Detail</li> </ul>	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  illed Action .
1	ad Trademark Office			Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

## Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of non-enzymatic ligation of a nucleic acid
     (claims 1-7) and a method of molecular cloning (claims 8-16), classified in class
     436, subclass 94.
  - II Claims 17-28 and 52-55, drawn a kit (17-28) and a compound, (52-55), classified in class 536 subclass 22.1.
  - III. Claims 29-35, drawn to a method of ligating a nucleic acid, classified in class435, subclass 6.
  - IV. Claims 36-51 and 56, drawn to a kit (claims 36-43), a composition (claims 44-51) and a compound (claim 56), classified in class 536, subclass 22.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Art Unit: 1634

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as an alkaline cleavage reaction (for example, see Hamm *et al.*, J. Org. Chem., 64, 5700-5704, 1999).

Groups I and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group III such as topoisomerase in claim 29 is not required for Group I.

Groups I and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to a method (Group I) and unrelated products (Group IV). As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group IV such as a compound in claim 56 is not required for Group I.

Groups II and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to products (Group II) and an unrelated method (Group III). As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group II such as a compound in claim 52 is not required for Group III.

Groups II and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to two different products that have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for a compound in claim 52 is not required for Group IV.

Application/Control Number: 09/882,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

Groups III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as an alkaline cleavage reaction (for example, see Hamm *et al.*, J. Org. Chem., 64, 5700-5704, 1999).

4. Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to Group IV.

Group IV detailed above reads on patentably distinct SEQ ID Numbers. Each sequence is patentably distinct because the sequences are structurally unrelated. Therefore, applicant must further elect a single SEQ ID NO. (See MPEP 803.04). Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only elected SEQ ID NO. and should not to be construed as a species election.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) a single stranded polynucleotide (claims 19 and 25)
- (2) a double stranded polynucleotide (claims 21 and 27)

Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 17, 18, 20, 22-24, 26, 28 and 52-55.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Group IV contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) a single stranded polynucleotide-5' phosphorothiolate (claims 39, 47, and 48)
- (2) a duplex polynucleotide-5' phosphorothiolate (claims 41 and 49-51)

Application/Control Number: 09/882,274 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 36-38, 40, 42-46, and 56.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) halo (claims 52, 53, and 55)
- (2) alkyl (claims 52, 53, and 55)

Art Unit: 1634

- (3) substituted alkyl (claims 52, 53, and 55)
- (4) sulfonate moiety (claims 52, 53, and 55)
- (5) phenyl (claims 52, 53, and 55)
- (6) substituted phenyl (claims 52-55)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims 17-28.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

melin

August 9, 2002